UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,145	03/24/2004	Michael Sonnleitner	1056906	2765
OSLER, HOSKIN & HARCOURT LLP (BRP) 2100 - 1000 DE LA GAUCHETIERE ST. WEST			EXAMINER	
			PRICE, CRAIG JAMES	
MONTREAL, QC H3B4W5 CANADA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3753	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/20/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ipmtl@OSLER.COM

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
N 42 CAL 1	10/807,145	SONNLEITNER	ET AL.		
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Craig Price	3753			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	•		dress		
This application is abandoned in view of:					
 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of N and N are placed as the proper reply to the Office of N are placed as the proper reply to the Office of N are placed as the proper reply to the Office of N are placed as the proper reply to the Office of N are placed as the proper reply to the Office of N are placed as the proper reply to the Office of N are placed as the proper reply to the Office of N are placed as the proper reply to the Office of N are placed as the proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on 	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on), which is after the	•		
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed ar Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o	nendment which pla	aces the		
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See		mpt at a proper rep	ly, to the non-		
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.					
 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85). 	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Tr	ansmission dated		
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	a of \$ is due				
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ 1		CFR 1.18(d), is \$			
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no					
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requallowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on					
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.	after the expiration of the period for reply.				
(b) I No corrected drawings have been received.					
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire i	nterest, or all of		
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity ur	nder 37 CFR		
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for see	eking court review		
7. ☑ The reason(s) below:					
Mr. Jonathan Cutler, via teleconference on 15 May pending application since 13 November 2007.	2008, verbally verified that no pa	pers have been fi	iled against this		
	/John Rivell/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit	t 3753			
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (CFR 1.181, should be	promptly filed to		

minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment

Part of Paper No. 20080516